	Case 1:24-cv-00225-JLT-BAM Docume	ent 11 Filed 01/05/26 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	GERARDO GOMEZ,	Case No. 1:24-cv-00225 JLT BAM	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING	
13	v.	ACTION WITH PREJUDICE	
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND	(Docs. 8, 10)	
15	REHABILITATION, et al.,		
16 17	Defendants.		
18	The Court screened the complaint and found that it failed to comply with Federal Rule of		
19	Civil Procedure 8 and failed to state a cognizable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. 8.) The		
20	Court granted Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint or notice of voluntary dismissal within		
21	thirty days. ( <i>Id.</i> ) Plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint or otherwise communicate with		
22	the Court.	-	
23	On December 3, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and		
24	recommendations that recommended dismissal of this action, with prejudice, for failure to state a		
25	claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, failure to obey a court order, and for Plaintiff's failure to		
26	prosecute this action. (Doc. 10.) The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on		
27	Plaintiff and notified him that any objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 10 at 10.) The		
28	Court advised him that the "failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the		
		1	

	Case 1:24-cv-00225-JLT-BAM Document 11 Filed 01/05/26 Page 2 of 2		
1	waiver of the 'right to challenge the magistrate's factual findings' on appeal." (Id., quoting		
2	Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838–39 (9th Cir. 2014)). Plaintiff did not file objections,		
3	and the time to do so has expired.		
4	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court performed a de novo review of this		
5	case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes that the Findings and		
6	Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> :		
7	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on December 3, 2025 (Doc. 10) are		
8	ADOPTED.		
9	2. This action is <b>DISMISSED</b> , with prejudice, for failure to state a claim, failure to obey		
10	a court order, and failure to prosecute this action.		
11	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.		
12			
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14	Dated: January 3, 2026  UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
15			
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			